(4)

AMENDMENT PETITION

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION No. 3459 **/2006**

PETITIONER

Kamal Narayan Singh S/o Late Shri Har Prasad Singh, aged about 70 years, by caste Thakur, resident of Karbala Road Kumharpara, Juna Bilaspur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through Collector, Bilaspur, Tahsil and District Bilaspur (C.G.)
2. The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Bilaspur, Tahsil and District Bilaspur (C.G.)
3. The Mayor, Municipal Corporation, Bilaspur, Tahsil and District Bilaspur (C.G.)
4. Ajit Singh S/o Sardar Dayal Singh Juneja.
5. Asit Pal Singh @ Bholu, aged about 35 years S/o Ajit Singh.
6. Amit Pal Singh S/o Ajit Singh.

(Respondents No.4 to 6 all by caste Sikh, all resident of Karbala Road, Kumharpara, Juna, Bilaspur, Tahsil and District Bilaspur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
26/7/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT OR WRITS, ORDER OR ORDERS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS UNDER THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CASE

(112)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..WP-3459/06 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

09.10.2006

Shri Amrito Das, Counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Arun Sao, Govt. Advocate for the State/respondent No.1.

None for other respondents. Their service reports are awaited.

Heard.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the present, this petition may be disposed of with a direction that the Municipal Corporation, Bilaspur, will consider the representation of the petitioner filed vide Annexure P-8 in light of the provisions of Section 205 of the Municipal Corporation Act, 1956.

A perusal of Annexure P-8 shows that this representation was filed by 3 persons namely Kamal Narayan Singh, Sheela Devi and Bhagwati Devi on 4/4/2006. This is a petition filed on behalf of Kamal Narayan Singh, who appears to be one of the persons representing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the provisions of Section 205 of the aforesaid Act, the Municipal Corporation has power to dispose of such representation by passing appropriate orders in it and this petition may be disposed of by giving directions like above.

This limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is not disputed by learned counsel for the State.

The same is allowed.

113

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP 3459/06 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश 2	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	In view of the above, without waiting for the service of notices on other respondents, I deem it proper that the ends of justice would be served, if a direction is issued to the Corporation to dispose of the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioner alongwith two other persons. It is directed that the Municipal Corporation, Bilaspur shall look into the matter and dispose of the representation dated 4/4/2006 (Annexure P-8), said to have been filed by the petitioner and two other persons before the Corporation. The petition stands finally disposed of. Sd/- Sunil Kumar Sinha Judge	

shyna

P.T.O.

12.10.06
27.10.06